

Application No. 10/661,461  
Response dated February 3, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of November 3, 2005

### **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

Applicants submit replacement drawing sheets attached in the Appendix.

In FIG. 13, the lead lines to reference numbers 138 and 140 have been redrawn, and housing 136 has been amended to include the disclosed resilient material therein, which was previously labeled as number 140.

In FIG. 16, the lead lines to reference numbers 116 and 120 have been redrawn.

Application No. 10/661,461  
Response dated February 3, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of November 3, 2005

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-18 are pending. Claims 1-11 are cancelled herein. Accordingly, claims 12-18 are at issue.

Claims 1-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101, as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-11 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,874,616. As mentioned, claims 1-11 are cancelled. Accordingly, the statutory double patenting rejection of claims 1-11 is mooted.


Claims 12-18 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, i.e., non-statutory type, over claims 12-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,874,616.

It is understood that a Terminal Disclaimer will overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection. As such, applicants enclose herewith a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321.

In the Appendix, replacement drawing sheets are included. Applicants submit no new matter is introduced with the replacement drawing sheets. Support for the changes is found in Applicant's specification in ¶¶ 0064, 0065, and 0071.

The application now appears to be in condition for allowance and such action as consistent therewith is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Stephen S. Favakeh

Registration No. 36,798

Date: February 3, 2006

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY

120 South LaSalle, Suite 1600  
Chicago, Illinois 60603-3406  
Telephone: 312/577-7000  
Facsimile: 312/577-7007